

ULDP FEEDBACK 2020

Overall I think the Plan is well thought out and designed and I am pleased to see a shared pathway through to the Chartered Club.

However, over the summer months the Bowling Club/Chartered Club car park is regularly filled to capacity particularly at weekends. As Mangawhai continues to rapidly grow I feel there is no room for this car park to cater for Community Park overflow as most of their events are held at weekends or Public Holidays when our car park is already overflowing.

A Future Plan made need to incorporate additional parking, perhaps behind Bowling Club between Lookout and Golf Course or somewhere in there where it would be accessible to everyone.

Our car park already picks up overflow from Golf Club from time to time.

These are my personal views.

Regards,
Kris Wintle

Graeme, many thanks for sending this out. Overall this seems realistic and does appear to pull together at least the lower Molesworth Drive area of Mangawhai Park.

I know I came on board the FoMP Group after this project had been briefed in so was just catching up. I have to admit to not being totally up to speed on that element but offer the following observations, essentially to governance representatives at this juncture.

a/ Mangawhai Park is a big area in total, over half of which is covered by the golf course. I am therefore a bit wonderous as to why this report only covered a relatively small area of the park, admittedly an area that has gone the major recent transformation.

b/ I can understand that focus when it comes to pathways (and think the ideas are good) however the report seems to ignore the pathway that has been developed by the "Trackies" starting in the park in Thelma Rd and working around the boundary of the golf course until it now reaches Thelma Rd North.

c/ There is much debate about wetlands and then Councillor del la Varis-Woodcock raises the potential of library and KDC offices going in where the Molesworth Drive wetlands are currently located. That is or would be a possible outcome of the spatial plan as I read it.

d/ In the end I wonder why we do not appear to be looking at this whole project in an holistic sense. Surely the Landscaping plan should include the whole park and borders?? We had discussed the inclusion of most suitable tree line/planting down the Molesworth Drive boundary of the golf course (holes 10/11) as we all work to ensure long term tree border between golf course and Molesworth Drive. That's not included.

e/ We had also discussed extended potential of the car park above the golf club that could be an significantly greater parking resource especially with the excellent network of walking/cycling tracks in the area? (To be fair we had also raised the potential of a new cart shed on the most western part of the currently redundant land and also down the line of our driving range). No mention of this carpark in the plan.

f/ Wetlands: Surely this definitely requires a strategy for the whole park. All water from wetlands ultimately finds its way to the estuary. Surely we must all work together to create the best single approach to that. Additionally given the size and the existing desire to enhance and sustain the biggest wetland of them all, the one inside the golf course, would it not make sense to view the opportunity to have any natural flow of water, let alone management practices as same for all elements in the park and work up a most effective, efficient and relevant strategy in total?

I have one other observation or query. I bring it up now as part of this but had it down for next FoMP meeting.

Is there an overall strategic plan/Vision for the whole park?? It does seem a bit that all individual elements have their own agenda(s) but surely we should have a clear overview on what we (the collective for the community) want for the park long term and what limitations/opportunities there might be on individual enterprises and interested parties therein.

I know we have heard some groups suggesting they are "just going to march on". No issue with enthusiasm but are there boundaries and guidance's so everyone can and must work to the common good and vision?

I am really sorry if some of this is already in public domain and I just haven't yet caught up with it. But just wanted to express thoughts as we are certainly a very interested and proactive member of the larger entity that is Mangawhai Park.

Kind regards

Mike

Hi All

My feedback for the shared path options through the park to the Clubrooms are to take the route of least vegetation removal so that the park like atmosphere and ecological values are not further depleted.

My Question How does this plan sit with the newly-completed proposed spatial plan that puts a library and KDC offices in the park where the wetlands are?

Kind Regards

Councillor Victoria del la Varis-Woodcock

Thank you for allowing us an extension of time to submit our feedback on the three items on the Mangawhai Community Park Concept Plan Discussion Document.

The feedback from the Mangawhai Museum & Historical Society Board is as follows:

- **Shared pathway** - we are supportive of this and of the 3m width. However, we do have concerns about the section below the Historical Village on the northern side of the Museum/Village car park. This appears to exit straight onto Molesworth Drive without any barriers or safety mechanisms to slow pedestrians/cyclists/children on bikes, skateboards etc. It is also not clear from the plan whether the pathway at this point utilizes an area of the existing car park. If it does, and if this renders our current car park/traffic movement space narrower in this area, then we would be very concerned about this.
- **Additional Parking at MAZ** - we do not believe there is enough parking at MAZ, or in the overall park to cope with numbers at big events or when there are multiple events taking place.
- **Proposed Toilet Location** - we are strongly in support of the provision of public toilets, and the sooner the better, but we are also supportive of the needs of the Historical Village and we understand that their wish was to have these located further to the north, closer to the Church building, behind the library.

I note that you say in your email that we will discuss the rest of the plan when we are all able to meet together again. However, the Museum Board would like at this stage to signal that

we have significant concerns about the plans proposed for the Museum site. We have found it very difficult to comment on just three aspects in this plan in isolation without knowing and being able to discuss what the rationale is for some of the other aspects relating to the Museum. We have questions about how the plan for the park will affect our future development plans (currently in our Strategic Plan and as discussed with Louise Miller) for a function room extension and storage to the west.

While we understand the desire to deal with this plan via the Friends of Mangawhai Community Park meeting forum, we would also appreciate the opportunity to be consulted with directly by the architects of the plan and /or Council officials over the aspects that affect us.

Nga mihi nui

Jill Corkin MNZM
Deputy Chair
Mangawhai Museum & Historical Society